In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh.

CWP No. 16989 of 2007

Date of Decision: 13.12.2007

Sita Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh and another

....Respondents.

Coram:- Hon'ble Mr.Justice J.S. Khehar.
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sham Sunder.

Present: Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

J.S. Khehar, J.(Oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states, that he has filed a civil miscellaneous application in the Registry of this Court wherein he has sought to place on the record of this case, details of having an electricity connection so as to demonstrate the fact, that he was a resident of Janta Labour Colony, Sector 25, Chandigarh, and also, that he was entitled to a plot in lieu of the Jhugi in which he resided.

The impugned order dated 7.10.2004 has been placed on the record of this case as Annexure P-7. Its perusal reveals, that the petitioner is claiming a plot in lieu of his having been a resident of Jhugi No.345/2, Janta Labour Colony, Sector -25, Chandigarh. In so far as the aforesaid Jhugi is concerned, the impugned order reveals, that a plot has already been

allotted in lieu of the aforesaid Jhugi to Sita Ram who admittedly is the brother of the petitioner himself. The impugned order declines the claim of the petitioner on the basis of the fact, that two plots cannot be allotted in lieu of the same Jhugi.

Since it is not disputed, that the petitioner was claiming allotment of a plot in lieu of Jhugi No.345/2, Janta Labour Colony, Sector -25, Chandigarh, and also, that a plot of land has already been allotted in lieu of the aforestated Jhugi to the brother of the petitioner, namely, Sita Ram, we are of the view, that the claim of the petitioner is wholly misconceived. We find no infirmity in the impugned order dated 7.10.2004 (Annexure P-7). The instant writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge.

(Sham Sunder)
Judge.

13.12.2007
sk.